## Author’s Checklist

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Questions to go through</th>
<th>Check</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Structure of the paper** | 1. Is the paper structured in accordance with the submission guidelines?  
2. Are the sections numbered according to logical order and hierarchy? |       |
| **Korean title** | Does the title reflect the content of the paper in a concise and informative manner? |       |
| **Author(s) in Korean** | Do authors with a different affiliation have a superscript number after their names? |       |
| **Affiliation(s) in Korean** | 1. Does the description have the following format?  
(Example: 서울대학교 치의학대학원 예방치학교실)  
2. Is the description for authors with a different affiliation preceded by a superscript number? |       |
| **English title** | 1. Are the first letters of important words capitalized?  
2. Is the content of the Korean title reflected accurately? |       |
| **Author(s) in English** | 1. Has the following format been used in the Romanized names?  
(Example: Eun-Jeong Kim, Hyo-Jin Lee, Bo-Hyoung Jin)  
2. Do authors with a different affiliation have a superscript number after their names? |       |
| **Affiliation(s) in English** | 1. Does the description have the following format?  
(Example: Department of Preventive & Social Dentistry, School of Dentistry, Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea)  
2. Is the description for authors with a different affiliation preceded by a superscript number? |       |
| **Corresponding author** | Are the address and contact details of the corresponding author written in English? |       |
| **English abstract** | 1. Has the word limit of 300 words been maintained?  
2. Does it contain the following: purpose, methods, results, and conclusion? |       |
| **Key words** | 1. Does the list of keywords contain a maximum of 6 words?  
2. Is the first letter of each word capitalized?  
3. Are the keywords arranged in alphabetical order? |       |
| **Introduction** | 1. Is the background of the study presented clearly?  
2. Is there any content that is not related to the study? |       |
| **Materials and Methods** | 1. Have all the materials and methods used in the study been described and cited?  
2. Are any of the listed materials or methods not used in the study?  
3. Have the materials and methods used been described according to their importance?  
4. Are all the assays and devices listed according to the submission guidelines? |       |
| **Results** | 1. Are the results written in an easily understandable manner?  
2. Is there any overlap between descriptions? |       |
| **Discussion** | 1. Have the contents mentioned in Introduction and Results been repeated here?  
2. Is there any content that is not related to the results of the study? |       |
| **Acknowledgement** | Is the funding institution mentioned along with the grant number? |       |
| **References** | Are they cited in accordance with the submission guidelines? |       |
| **Tables** | 1. Are the captions/explanations/contents written in English?  
2. Do they follow the format specified in the submission guidelines? |       |
| **Figures/Photos** | 1. Are they of adequate size and quality?  
2. Do all microscopic images contain identification numbers (bottom left corner) and scale bars (BRC)? |       |
| **CR transfer agreement** | Have all the authors read and signed the copyright transfer agreement? |       |
| **IRB (Institutional Review-Board) Approval** | Did the author receive IRB approval for the human subject study and write the authorization number? |       |